Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/587,188	RUMREICH, MARK FRANCIS				
Examiner	Art Unit				
DEVONA E. FAULK	2614				

	DEVONA E. FAULK	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. Material The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event however, will be statutory period for peply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fave bear been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions for be under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.137 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS 2	t prior to the data of fline a brief				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying the	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			TOL 004)		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach-	ed.		
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
	/Devona E. Faulk/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2614			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) 20091104

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The applicant asserts that the prior art fails to teach of a phase difference at the crossover frequency between respective responses of the first and second loudspeakers at a fixed frequency. The examiner had an interview with the applicant in which sargument was discussed. The examiner asserted at the time that she agreed and would see if Modafferi disclosed the limitation elsewhere in the specification. The examiner, upon further investigation, feels that Modafferi (column 2, lines 60-62) does read on the claim language. The reason is that column 2, lines 60-62 discloses that an amplitude response would be perfectly flat for all frequencies and there would be no phase shift at any frequency. The claim language says such that a phase difference is no greater than 60 depart than 60 depart

The applicant further assert that the prior art fails to teach of the polarity language as recited in the claim. The examiner disagrees. The examiner maintains that Tanaka, column 2, lines 29-43 read on the claim language as recited.